Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,802	SOHN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CLINTON BROOKS	1621
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>CLINTON BROOKS</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard Chinn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 July 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection of record.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1, claims 8-9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In the interview Examiner asked Dr. Chinn to amend claim 1 to include the limitations from claims 8 and 9, and to differentiate the regulating valves to a first and second valve and modify the antecedent basis of "the pressure" in claim 1. Dr. Chinn gave the Examiner permission to make these amendments		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)